

The Other Australia/Japan Living Marine Resources Dispute

*Inferences on the Merits of the Southern Bluefin Tuna
Arbitration in Light of the Whaling Case*

By

Andrew Serdy



BRILL

LEIDEN | BOSTON

Library of Congress Control Number: 2016956955

Typeface for the Latin, Greek, and Cyrillic scripts: "Brill". See and download: brill.com/brill-typeface.

ISBN 978-90-04-33944-6 (paperback)

ISBN 978-90-04-33945-3 (e-book)

Originally published as Volume 1(1) 2017, in *The Law of the Sea*, DOI 10.1163/24519359-12340001.

Copyright 2017 by Andrew Serdy. Published by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands. Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Brill Hes & De Graaf, Brill Nijhoff, Brill Rodopi and Hotei Publishing.

Koninklijke Brill NV reserves the right to protect the publication against unauthorized use and to authorize dissemination by means of offprints, legitimate photocopies, microform editions, reprints, translations, and secondary information sources, such as abstracting and indexing services including databases. Requests for commercial re-use, use of parts of the publication, and/or translations must be addressed to Koninklijke Brill NV.

This book is printed on acid-free paper and produced in a sustainable manner.

Printed by Printforce, the Netherlands

Contents

SARCHI CHAIR LAW

The Other Australia/Japan Living Marine Resources Dispute

Inferences on the Merits of the Southern Bluefin Tuna

Arbitration in Light of the Whaling Case 1

Andrew Serdy

Abstract 1

Keywords 1

1 Introduction 2

2 The *Whaling* Case Judgment 5

3 Southern Bluefin Tuna: The Fish 10

4 Historical Overview of the Japanese SBT Fishery 13

5 First Interactions 17

6 The State of the Stock 19

7 The First Quotas and Their Subsequent Reduction 21

8 Gestation of the Dispute—Interpretation of CPUE 24

9 Impasse in the CCSBT 32

10 Japan's Experimental Fishing 35

11 The Relevant Law Identified in the Statements of Claim 37

12 The ITLOS Hearing and Order 41

13 The Parties' Arguments before the Annex VII Arbitral Tribunal 43

14 The Annex VII Arbitral Tribunal's Award on Jurisdiction 45

15 Resolution of the Dispute 51

a *The SBT Scientific Research Program* 51

b *Japanese Commercial Catch Affected by the ITLOS Order* 52

c *The New-Old Quota of 2003* 53

16 Issues Left Unresolved Because the Case did not go to the Merits 55

a *Possible Damaging Admissions by Japan in the Indian Ocean Tuna Commission* 55

b *Best Scientific Evidence (Design of the Experimental Fishing Programme and Analysis of Its Results)* 56

c *The Allegation that Japan's Experimental Fishing was Commercially Motivated* 60

d *Decision-making in the CCSBT and the Duty of Cooperation* 64

e *The Relationship between Conservation and Optimum Utilisation* 68

17 Concluding Observations 69

Appendix: SBT Fishery Science 70
Maximum Sustainable Yield—The Surplus Production Model of Fisheries 70
Virtual Population Analysis 73
Scientific Advice to Managers 75
References 85