

# Dependent Archipelagos in the Law of the Sea

By  
Sophia Kopela

44

MARTINUS  
**NIJHOFF**  
PUBLISHERS

LEIDEN • BOSTON  
2013

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Kopela, Sophia, author.

Dependent archipelagos in the law of the sea / By Sophia Kopela.  
pages cm. — (Publications on ocean development; volume 74)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 978-90-04-19494-6 (hardback : alk. paper) — ISBN 978-90-04-24569-3 (e-book)

1. Archipelagoes—Law and legislation.
2. Contiguous zones (Law of the sea)
3. Territorial waters.
4. Customary law. I. Title.

KZA146o.K67 2013

341.4'48—dc23

2012045350

This publication has been typeset in the multilingual "Brill" typeface. With over 5,100 characters covering Latin, IPA, Greek, and Cyrillic, this typeface is especially suitable for use in the humanities. For more information, please see [www.brill.com/brill-typeface](http://www.brill.com/brill-typeface).

ISSN: 0924-1922

ISBN: 978-90-04-19494-6 (hardback)

ISBN: 978-90-04-24569-3 (e-book)

Copyright 2013 by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, The Netherlands.  
Koninklijke Brill NV incorporates the imprints Brill, Global Oriental, Hotei Publishing, IDC Publishers and Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher.

Authorization to photocopy items for internal or personal use is granted by Koninklijke Brill NV provided that the appropriate fees are paid directly to The Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Suite 910, Danvers, MA 01923, USA.  
Fees are subject to change.

This book is printed on acid-free paper.



# Contents

Preface and Acknowledgements .....	xv
List of Abbreviations .....	xix
List of Figures .....	xxi
Introduction .....	1
I. Archipelagos, the Archipelagic Concept and the Law of the Sea Convention .....	1
II. Dependent Coastal and Outlying Archipelagos: Definitional Aspects .....	4
III. The Scope and Structure of the Book .....	7
Chapter One The Development of the Archipelagic Concept in International Law of the Sea: From Straight Baselines to the Archipelagic Regime of the Law of the Sea Convention .....	11
1.1 Introduction .....	11
1.2 Proposals and Evolution of the Archipelagic Concept Prior to the Third UN Conference on the Law of the Sea .....	12
A. Early Proposals Regarding the Treatment of Archipelagos in International Law .....	12
B. The Impact of the <i>Fisheries Case</i> upon the Evolution of the Archipelagic Concept .....	14
C. Proposals and Discussions on Archipelagos in the Aftermath of the <i>Fisheries Case</i> .....	16
I. Straight Baselines and Outlying and Coastal Archipelagos in the Discussions of the ILC .....	16
II. Straight Baselines and Archipelagos during UNCLOS I .....	18
III. The Regime of Enclosed Waters in the Discussions of the ILC ad UNCLOS I .....	20
IV. Special Treatment of Archipelagos on the Basis of Historic Reasons in UNCLOS II .....	22
D. Factors Impeding the Acceptance of a Special Regime for Outlying Archipelagos: An Interplay of Geographic and Political Considerations .....	23

1.3	Archipelagos and the Third UN Conference on the Law of the Sea .....	25	
	A. Political Developments Influencing UNCLOS III .....	25	
	B. Outlying Archipelagos and the Archipelagic Regime Adopted in UNCLOS III .....	28	
	I. Distinction on the Basis of the Political Status of Archipelagos .....	30	
	II. Conditions for the Application of the Archipelagic Regime: Archipelagic Definition vs. Quantitative Requirements .....	38	
	III. The Archipelagic Regime: A Regime to Satisfy Conflicting Interests .....	42	
1.4	Concluding Remarks: The Application of the Archipelagic Concept in the LOSC: Gains and Losses .....	49	
Chapter Two The Application of Straight Baselines on the Basis of Article 7 LOSC and State Practice: Implications for Coastal Archipelagos .....			53
2.1	Introduction .....	53	
2.2	Article 7 LOSC and Application of Straight Baselines in Localities where there is a 'Fringe of Islands along the Coast in Its Immediate Vicinity' .....	54	
	A. Rationale and Objectives for the Application of Straight Baselines to 'Fringes of Islands' .....	54	
	B. Conditions for the Application of Article 7 to 'Fringes of Islands along the Coast in Its Immediate Vicinity' .....	56	
	I. 'Fringe of Islands' .....	56	
	a. Background .....	56	
	b. Islands vs Article 121 (3) LOSC Rocks .....	57	
	c. Number of Islands .....	60	
	d. Compactness of the Group: Distances between the Islands .....	61	
	II. The Relationship between the Coast and the Fringe of Islands: 'Along the Coast in Its Immediate Vicinity' .....	61	
	III. Conditions Regarding the Application of the Straight Baselines System per se .....	63	
	a. Article 7 (2-5) LOSC .....	63	
	b. Maximum Length of Straight Baselines .....	66	
	IV. Some Guidance from International Courts and Tribunals .....	66	

	C. Coastal Archipelagos and Article 7 LOSC .....	70
25	I. 'Fringe of Islands' vs Coastal Archipelagos .....	70
25	II. Coast and Coastal Archipelagos .....	72
28	2.3 The Provision, the Most (mis)used? Reflections on State Practice with an Emphasis on Coastal Archipelagos .....	73
30	A. Using Straight Baselines in the Case of a Few Off-Lying Islands: From Rationalisation Technique to Expansion of Coastal Jurisdiction .....	74
38	B. Using Straight Baselines in the Case of Coastal Archipelagos: Protection of Vulnerable Maritime Areas .....	76
42	I. Chile – Chilean Archipelago .....	77
42	II. Finland – Aaland Archipelago .....	77
49	III. United Kingdom – Outer Hebrides .....	78
49	IV. Guinea-Bissau – Bijagos (Bissagos) Archipelago .....	79
49	V. Canada – Canadian Arctic Archipelago .....	79
53	VI. Myanmar – Mergui Archipelago .....	81
53	VII. Thailand – Ko Samui Archipelago .....	82
53	VIII. Italy – Tuscany Archipelago .....	83
53	IX. Honduras – Islas de La Bahia (Bay Islands) .....	83
53	X. Russian Federation .....	84
54	2.4 The Contemporary Relevance of Straight Baselines in Coasts Fringed with Islands .....	86
54	A. Application of Straight Baselines and Impact on Maritime Space .....	86
56	I. (External) Extension of Coastal State Jurisdiction .....	86
56	II. (Internal) Extension of Coastal State Jurisdiction: The Status of Enclosed Waters .....	89
56	B. Interpretation of Article 7 LOSC on the Basis of Subsequent State Practice and the Significance of Precedents .....	93
60	2.5 Concluding Remarks: Reconsideration of the Rationale of Article 7 and Coastal Archipelagos .....	97
61		
61	Chapter Three Dependent Outlying Archipelagos: Straight Baselines, the LOSC and State Practice .....	99
61	3.1 Introduction .....	99
63	3.2 Potential Application of Straight Baselines on the Basis of the Law of the Sea Convention to Dependent Outlying Archipelagos .....	100
66	A. Article 7 of the LOSC: 'Fringes of Islands' and Outlying Archipelagos .....	100
66		

	I. Conditions for the Identification of Groups of Islands Qualifying for the Application of Straight Baselines (Article 7 Paragraph 1 of the LOSC) .....	103
	a. The Relevance of the Size of the Islands of the Group .....	103
	b. The Relationship between the Coast of the Main Island and the Rest of the Islands of the Group: Fringe and Masking Criterion .....	104
	II. Conditions Regarding the Application of the Straight Baselines Systems per se .....	105
	B. Article 10 of the LOSC: Islands Forming Juridical Bays .....	106
3-3	Practice of States in Outlying Archipelagos .....	112
	A. The Practice of Continental States Applying a Special System for the Measurement of the Maritime Zones of Their Outlying Archipelagos .....	112
	I. Archipelagos Dominated by One or Two Large Islands .....	113
	a. Kerguelen Islands – France .....	117
	b. Svalbard Archipelago – Norway .....	118
	c. Sjaelland and Laesø Islands – Denmark .....	120
	d. Furneaux Group – Australia .....	121
	e. Falkland Islands – United Kingdom .....	122
	f. Guadeloupe – France .....	124
	II. Archipelagos with Similarly Sized Islands or Islands Located in a Random Way .....	124
	a. Galapagos Islands – Ecuador .....	125
	b. Faroe Islands – Denmark .....	126
	c. Houtman Abrolhos Islands – Australia .....	127
	d. Canary Islands – Spain .....	127
	e. Balearic Islands – Spain .....	130
	f. Azores and Madeira Islands – Portugal .....	131
	g. Turks and Caicos Islands – United Kingdom .....	132
	h. Loyalty Islands (New Caledonia) – France .....	134
	i. Kong Karls Land (Svalbard) – Norway .....	134
	j. Dahlak Archipelago – Eritrea .....	135
	k. Sudan .....	136
	l. Co Co Islands and Preparis Islands – Myanmar .....	136
	m. Andaman and Nicobar Islands – Lakshadweep Islands – India .....	137
	n. Paracel Islands – China .....	138
	o. Iran, Syria and United Arab Emirates .....	139

	B. Practice of Continental States Applying the Low-Water Rule in Their Outlying Archipelagos .....	140
109	I. Hawaii – USA .....	141
	II. Aegean Archipelago – Greece .....	143
109	344 Concluding Remarks .....	147

	<b>Chapter Four</b> Law-Creating Value of the Practice of States in Outlying Archipelagos with an Emphasis on Customary International Law .....	149
104	400 Introduction .....	149
105	402 The Development of Customary International Law Related to Outlying Archipelagos and the LOSC .....	150
106	A. The LOSC and Customary International Law: Interrelations and Interactions .....	150
112	B. The LOSC and Outlying Archipelagos .....	156
112	403 The Status of Dependent Outlying Archipelagos in General Customary International Law .....	159
113	A. State Practice – The Material Element .....	160
117	I. Assessment of State Practice .....	160
118	II. Elements of State Practice Essential for the Formation of Customary Law .....	163
120	a. Duration .....	163
121	b. Consistency, Uniformity and Generality .....	164
124	B. The Subjective Element .....	166
124	I. <i>Opinio juris sive necessitatis</i> .....	166
125	II. <i>Opinio juris</i> and the LOSC .....	170
126	C. The Reaction of the International Community .....	173
127	I. Opposition and Protests .....	173
127	II. Acceptance Inferred from Active Conduct .....	178
130	III. Significance of Divergent Practice: Does Divergent Practice Manifest Opposition? .....	178
131	IV. Significance of Silence: Does Lack of Protest Manifest Acquiescence? .....	179
134	D. Concluding Remarks .....	182
134	I. Dependent Outlying Archipelagos and Customary International Law .....	182
135	II. The Content of the Emerging Customary Rule of International Law .....	183
136	444 Conclusion .....	189
137		
138		
139		

Chapter Five	The Archipelagic Concept and Special Customary and Historic Rights: Three Case Studies .....	191
5.1	Introduction .....	191
5.2	Some Introductory Remarks on Special Customary/Historic Rights .....	192
5.3	The Faroe Islands .....	195
5.4	The Galapagos Islands .....	200
5.5	The Canadian Arctic Archipelago .....	207
	A. Historic Waters Based on Title Acquired and Transferred to Canada by the Inuit .....	209
	B. Historic Waters and the Exercise of Sovereign Authority .....	211
	I. Activities Manifesting Sovereign Intention .....	211
	II. Canadian Legislation Related to the Arctic Archipelago .....	214
	III. Assertion of the Claim via Statements of Canadian Governmental Officials .....	217
	IV. The Reaction of Other States: Critical Time for the Establishment of the Historic Title and Acquiescence .....	220
	C. Weighing Strengths and Weaknesses in an Historical Context .....	225
5.6	Conclusion .....	226
Chapter Six	Legitimacy of the Archipelagic Regime and Future Developments .....	229
6.1	Introduction .....	229
6.2	Contemporary Relevance of the Archipelagic Regime: Part IV of the LOSC and Dependent Outlying Archipelagos .....	230
	A. The Archipelagic Concept and the Element of Statehood .....	230
	I. Legal Definition of Archipelagos according to the LOSC ..	230
	II. Statehood as a Means of Distinguishing Archipelagos .....	230
	III. Self-Governing and Non-Self-Governing Archipelagic Territories as 'Quasi' Archipelagic States .....	234
	B. Reasons for the Application of the Archipelagic Regime in Terms of Needs and Interests and the Exclusive Economic Zone .....	236
	I. Economic Considerations .....	237
	II. Protection of the Marine Environment .....	237
	III. Issues Related to Internal and External Security .....	240
	IV. Psychological and Symbolic Reasons .....	242



	C. An Answer to the 'Proliferation of Claims' Argument:	
191	Is the Archipelagic Regime a Threat to Other States? .....	243
191	I. Freedom of Navigation and Overflight and Other Uses of	
	Sea Exercised in the High Seas .....	243
192	II. Rights of States Neighbouring an Archipelago .....	245
195	6.3 Application of the Archipelagic Regime to Archipelagic	
200	Dependencies: Potential and Implications .....	247
207	A. Canary Islands .....	247
	B. French Polynesia .....	252
209	6.4 Conclusion .....	255
211	Conclusion .....	257
211	I. The Manifestation of the Archipelagic Concept in International	
214	Law of the Sea .....	257
217	II. Straight and Archipelagic Baselines, Accommodation of	
	Conflicting Interests and the Legitimacy of the Archipelagic	
220	Concept .....	261
	Appendix .....	265
225	Bibliography .....	291
226	Index .....	315
229		
229		
230		
230		
230		
230		
234		
236		
237		
237		
240		
242		