

EQUITABLE PRINCIPLES OF
MARITIME BOUNDARY
DELIMITATION

The Quest for Distributive Justice
in International Law

THOMAS COTTIER

SARCHI CHAIR LAW



 CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

**CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

University Printing House, Cambridge CB2 8BS, United Kingdom

Cambridge University Press is part of the University of Cambridge.

It furthers the University's mission by disseminating knowledge in the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

www.cambridge.org

Information on this title: www.cambridge.org/9781107080171

© Thomas Cottier 2015

This publication is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2015

A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data

Cottier, Thomas, author.

Equitable principles of maritime boundary delimitation : the quest for distributive justice in international law / Thomas Cottier.

pages cm

ISBN 978-1-107-08017-1 (hardback)

1. Maritime boundaries. I. Title.

KZA1450.C68 2015

341.4'48-dc23

2014035077

ISBN 978-1-107-08017-1 Hardback

Cambridge University Press has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

CONTENTS

<i>List of tables</i>	xviii
<i>List of maps</i>	xix
<i>Preface</i>	xxiii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xxvi
<i>Table of cases</i>	xxix
<i>Table of treaties and instruments</i>	xxxiv
Equity revisited: an introduction	1
I. The renaissance of equity	1
A. New frontiers	1
B. Traditional functions and the decline of equity	8
C. The rebirth of equity in the law of natural resources	16
II. The quest for global equity	21
A. The programmatic function of equity	22
B. The impact of sovereignty and self-determination	25
III. The legal nature of equity	28
A. Different layers	28
B. A source of new legal principles	29
C. Ambivalence and the need for context	31
D. The impact of different schools	34
IV. Conclusion	39
PART I Context: the enclosure of the seas	43
1 The silent revolution	45
I. The partition of the seas	45
II. Conferences, conventions, and customary law	49
A. UNCLOS I, II and the Geneva Conventions	49

	B. UNCLOS III and the LOS Convention	50
	C. Multiple sources of law	59
	D. A historical perspective	63
2	The new maritime zones: evolution and legal foundations	67
	I. Horizontally shared zones and quasi-territoriality	67
	II. The continental shelf zone	70
	A. Description and development	70
	B. The scope of shelf rights	74
	C. The foundation and legal nature of shelf rights	77
	1. The concept of natural prolongation of the territory of the coastal state	77
	2. Distance: close relationship of the coastal state to offshore marine spaces	92
	D. Summary and conclusions	101
	III. The exclusive economic zone	104
	A. Description and development	104
	B. The foundation and legal nature of EEZ rights	111
	1. Permanent sovereignty over natural resources and the close relationship of the coastal state to offshore marine spaces	111
	2. Customary law	114
	C. The scope of EEZ rights	116
	1. State practice and customary law	116
	2. The LOS Convention	118
	IV. The relationship of the continental shelf and the EEZ	121
	A. Divergencies	121
	B. Convergencies: towards a single homogeneous zone	122
	C. Summary and conclusions	125
	1. Towards a presumption of single maritime boundaries	125
	2. Exceptions: diverging boundaries	128
3	Distributive effects of the enclosure movement: an assessment of global equity	130
	I. The quest for global equity in maritime law	130
	II. The allocation of marine spaces	140

A.	The main beneficiaries	140
B.	The position of land-locked and geographically disadvantaged states	143
1.	Mineral resources	144
2.	Living resources: the concept of equitable surplus allocation	146
III.	Developments in fisheries production and market shares	153
IV.	Conservation and management – equity towards sustainable use	161
V.	Structural limits to equitable sharing in contemporary international law	170
PART II The new boundaries		177
4	Approaches to delimitation	179
I.	The basic dilemma	179
II.	Technical and scientific methods of delimitation	182
A.	Geometrical and geographical methods	183
1.	The method of equidistance or median line	184
2.	The bisector method	191
3.	Perpendicular to the general direction of the coastal line	195
4.	The extrapolation of the land boundary	196
5.	Parallel lines (corridors)	197
6.	Enclaving	197
7.	Annex: problems of scale distortions	198
B.	Geological and ecological methods (natural boundaries)	199
1.	Practical problems of scientific evidence	200
2.	Theoretical and legal issues	202
III.	Competing legal approaches to delimitation	204
A.	Four regulatory models	204
1.	The model of juridical vacuum (<i>ex aequo et bono</i>)	205
2.	The model of equity and equitable principles	206
3.	The model of residual rules and exceptions (equidistance or median line)	208

4.	Equidistance v. equity: the model of agreed equitable solutions based on international law	213
IV.	Conclusions	233
5	State practice	236
I.	Unilateral acts (proclamations and legislation)	236
A.	Continental shelf	236
B.	Fisheries and exclusive economic zones	238
II.	Maritime boundary delimitation agreements	242
A.	Indications in agreements	243
B.	Models and methods applied	244
C.	The impact of the 1958 Shelf Convention equidistance-special circumstances rule	245
D.	Assessment and former studies	246
E.	Protracted negotiations	250
III.	The functional approach in co-operation agreements	252
A.	The model of revenue sharing and compensation	257
B.	The model of shared jurisdiction in boundary area pending exploration	258
C.	The model of long-lasting zones overlapping a boundary line	259
D.	The model of common zones under joint administration	261
E.	The potential and limits of co-operation and package deals	266
6	Judicial and conciliatory settlements	271
I.	Introductory	271
II.	Claims and results in legal proceedings	272
A.	The 1969 <i>North Sea Continental Shelf</i> cases	272
B.	The 1977/78 <i>Anglo-French Channel</i> arbitration	275
C.	The 1981 <i>Arbitration concerning the Border between the Emirates of Dubai and Sharjah</i>	279
D.	The 1982 and 1985 <i>Tunisia v. Libya Continental Shelf</i> cases	281
E.	The 1984 <i>Canada v. United States Gulf of Maine</i> case	285
F.	The 1985 <i>Guinea v. Guinea-Bissau</i> arbitration	290
G.	The 1985 <i>Libya v. Malta Continental Shelf</i> case	294
H.	The 1992 <i>Canada v. France St. Pierre and Miquelon</i> arbitration	297

- I. The 1992 *Land, Island and Maritime Frontier Dispute (El Salvador v. Honduras)* 300
- J. The 1993 *Jan Mayen case (Denmark v. Norway)* 303
- K. The 1999 *Eritrea v. Yemen* award 306
- L. The 2001 *Case Concerning Maritime Delimitation and Territorial Questions (Qatar v. Bahrain)* 311
- M. The 2002 *Case Concerning the Land and Maritime Boundary (Cameroon v. Nigeria)* 315
- N. The 2006 *Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago* award 318
- O. The 2007 *Guyana v. Suriname* Award 321
- P. The 2007 *Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Honduras)* 324
- Q. The 2009 *Case Concerning the Maritime Delimitation in the Black Sea (Romania v. Ukraine)* 327
- R. The 2012 *Bay of Bengal (Bangladesh v. Myanmar)* case 332
- S. The 2012 *Territorial and Maritime Dispute (Nicaragua v. Colombia)* 336
- T. The 2014 *Maritime Dispute (Peru v. Chile)* 338
- III. Claims and Results in Domestic and Quasi-judicial Proceedings 341
 - A. The 1979 United States CEIP Delimitation Recommendations 341
 - B. The 1981 Jan Mayen Ridge Conciliation 344
 - C. The 2002 Arbitration between Newfoundland and Labrador and Nova Scotia 346
- IV. Assessment 348
 - A. Individuality of configurations 348
 - B. The importance of the *compromis* (special agreement) 349
 - C. Claims and the role of equidistance 350
 - D. Geometrical constructions and results 352
 - E. The common basis of equity 352
- 7 An assessment of customary law 354
 - I. The state of play in customary law 354
 - A. The prohibition of unilateral delimitation 357
 - B. The absence of a duty to negotiate boundaries 358
 - C. The absence of specific customary rules for shelf and EEZ delimitation 359
 - 1. The model of residual rules and exceptions (equidistance–special circumstances) 359

2.	The model of equitable principles	363
3.	Other methods and legal approaches	365
4.	Customary obligation to achieve an equitable solution	365
5.	Customary obligation of mutual co-operation?	367
II.	The potential and limitation of equidistance	369
PART III Delimitation based on equity 373		
8	The rule of equity	375
I.	The rationale of equity and equitable principles	375
A.	Corrective or autonomous equity?	375
B.	The inherent need for underlying values and principles	379
C.	The normative level of equitable principles	381
D.	A closer look at equidistance—special circumstances	381
1.	A clear and simple model?	382
2.	A more predictable model?	385
3.	The shortcomings of an equidistance rule	386
E.	The roots of the controversy: jurisprudence and legal theory	389
F.	The appropriateness of equity	392
II.	The evolution of the fundamental norm of equity	394
A.	Roots of the fundamental rule	394
1.	The 1909 <i>Grisbadarna</i> arbitration	394
2.	The 1951 <i>Anglo-Norwegian Fisheries</i> case	398
B.	1969: The beginnings	403
C.	1977: Reducing the rule	404
D.	1982 and 1984: The victory of discretionary determination	405
E.	1985: The turning of the tide	409
F.	1999–2014: The two-step and three-step approach	413
G.	Conclusions	417
III.	Legal foundations of the fundamental rule of equity	418
A.	The Truman Proclamation and legal thinking	421
B.	The principle of peaceful settlement of disputes (Article 33 UN Charter)	422
C.	Justice, good faith, and equity in the <i>North Sea Continental Shelf</i> cases	423
D.	Judicial legislation	426
E.	Decision-making <i>ex aequo et bono</i> in disguise?	430
F.	Subsequent case law	435

1.	Paramount foundation in equity	435
2.	Foundation in the LOS Convention	437
G.	Towards a set of independent equitable principles	438
9	Conceptual issues and the context of equity	440
I.	The conceptual task	440
A.	The quest for equitable standards	440
B.	The process in case law	442
C.	Basic conceptual problems	451
II.	The impact of underlying concepts, objectives and ideas	453
A.	The relational nature of equity and equitable standards	453
B.	The object of delimitation: resources or marine space?	456
C.	The window of delimitation	459
D.	The issue of natural boundaries	462
1.	The impact of natural prolongation and plate tectonics	463
2.	The impact of ecology (ecosystems)	470
E.	A doctrine of the closest relationship	472
F.	The impact of underlying objectives and values	473
III.	The legal environment of equity	475
A.	Pacta sunt servanda	476
1.	Delimitation and related agreements	476
2.	The principle of <i>uti possidetis</i>	479
3.	Compromis (special agreement)	482
B.	Historic rights	485
C.	Estoppel and acquiescence	489
D.	Third party interests	491
1.	Substantive claims and rights	491
2.	Procedural claims and rights: intervention or fair hearing ?	494
IV.	The political environment of equity and the need for transparency	510
V.	Conclusion: essential elements of an equitable solution	512
10	Justiciable standards of equity	515
I.	The legal nature of equitable standards	515

- A. The requirement of justiciability 515
 - B. The legal nature of equitable principles and relevant circumstances 518
 - 1. Equitable principles 518
 - 2. The nature of relevant circumstances 522
 - 3. The element of 'equitable solution' 525
- II. Equitable standards related to physical geography 525
- A. Standards related to surface coastal configuration 525
 - 1. The coast dominates the sea (CDS) 526
 - 2. The principles of non-encroachment and non-cutting-off (NEP, NCP) 530
 - B. Equitable principles related to space allocation 538
 - 1. Equal division of marine space (EDS) 538
 - C. The principle of fair and reasonable proportionality (FRP) 541
 - 1. The relationship to the coastal lengths 542
 - 2. The problem of specification 543
 - 3. The field of application 556
 - 4. Assessment 557
 - D. Relevant circumstances related to resource allocation 559
 - 1. The location of resources 560
 - 2. The possibility of eco-geographical criteria 563
 - 3. Inherent limitations to resource allocation in general law of delimitation 564
 - 4. Improving resource allocation by negotiation and by special agreement (compromis) 567
- III. Equitable standards related to conduct and human geography 568
- A. Standards related to conduct of coastal states 568
 - 1. Relevant circumstance: historical conduct prior to the creation of the legal shelf and the EEZ 571
 - 2. The principle of recent and contemporary conduct (RCCP) 574
 - 3. Conclusions 577
 - B. Social and economic standards 577
 - 1. General social and economic interests 578
 - 2. Specifically related economic interests, in particular to the EEZ, and the principle of viability (VP) 583
 - 3. The circumstance of cultural and ethnological interests 589

C.	National security interests	590
D.	Toward a principle of third generational rights	593
IV.	Ad hoc concretization of equity by way of special agreement (compromis)	596
11	The methodology of judicial boundary delimitation	602
I.	Competing schools of jurisprudence	602
A.	Introduction	602
B.	Topical jurisprudence	605
II.	The programme of delimitation	610
A.	Adjudication of legal issues outside the realm of equity	611
B.	Defining the window of delimitation	611
C.	Adjudication of rights and obligations stemming from treaty law, historical rights, estoppel and acquiescence or any other legal title	613
D.	Adjudication of territorial jurisdiction	614
III.	The proper methodology of equity	614
A.	The beginnings in the courts: the idea of weighing and balancing factors	614
B.	Toward a topical, problem-oriented methodology of equity	622
1.	Assessing the type of boundary required or permitted	623
2.	Assessment and adjudication of equitable principles	625
3.	Specification and visualization of principles	625
4.	Vector analysis and co-ordination of boundary lines	626
5.	The corrective impact of relevant circumstances and of the requirement of an equitable result	628
C.	The methodological impact of the goal of an equitable apportionment	630
D.	Role of technical methods and geometrical constructions	631
E.	<i>Iura novit curia</i> and the need for structural pairing of substance and procedure	631
F.	Conclusions	634
IV.	The problem and impact of islands	635
A.	Introduction	635
B.	Legal issues	638
1.	Basic entitlement to shelf and EEZ	638

	2. Two categories of islands: constitutive and accessory entitlement	641
C.	Assessment and adjudication of equitable principles	642
	1. The impact of additive islands: ignoring locations	642
	2. Constitutive islands	644
	3. Special circumstances and geometric fixation	644
12	The role of equity in negotiations	645
I.	Introduction	645
II.	The rule of equity and equitable principles in negotiated settlements	647
	A. Mandatory or residual rules?	647
	B. Law and policy in the negotiating process	653
	C. Equity and the methodology of negotiations	654
	1. The role of equitable standards	654
	2. The proper methodology of delimitation in negotiations	655
	D. Conclusion	660
III.	The equitable obligation to negotiate	660
	A. A new dimension of law	660
	B. The duty to negotiate maritime boundary delimitations	663
	1. The scope of obligation	663
	2. The impact of good faith and legitimate expectations	665
	3. The prohibition of acts frustrating negotiations	666
	C. Foundations of the duty to negotiate	672
	1. Issues	672
	2. Specific foundations	672
	3. UN Charter?	674
	4. Customary law: prior consultation	675
	5. Equity	676
	D. Legal effects of violations of the duty to negotiate	679
	1. Compliance and possible reprisals	679
	2. The impact in court proceedings	681
	3. The 1978 <i>Aegean Continental Shelf</i> case: an opportunity missed	682
	4. Ordering negotiations	687

<i>Appendix I</i>	<i>Maritime boundary agreements</i>	
	1942-1992	691
<i>Appendix II</i>	<i>General maps</i>	721
<i>Bibliography</i>		747
<i>Index</i>		778